United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,132	03/15/2005	Josef Esser	(2086/42184)Case 7-PCT-US	1960	
7590 09/05/2006			EXAMINER		
Trexler Bushnell Giangiorgi Blackstone & Marr			MITCHELL, K.	MITCHELL, KATHERINE W	
105 West Adams Street Chicago, IL 60603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
S			3677	<u></u>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/511,132	ESSER, JOSEF			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Katherine W. Mitchell	3677			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period fo	• •	ALC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH!	C) OR THIRTY (20) DAVE			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u>	ıgust 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· _	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[🛛 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the chamfer must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. See more details in the section under *Specification*.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,132 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Note the drawing Fig 2 filed 8/1/2006 is accepted and **not** considered new matter, as it clearly was an error at some point in copying, and the missing section is a mirror image of the other side.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 8/1/2006 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "cambered" has been replaced with "chamfered". Using the accepted definitions, examiner cannot see how "chamfer" is not new matter. Note that the drawings also fail to show a chamfered structure - it is bent, not beveled.

cam·ber (kăm'bər) noun

- 1. a. A slightly arched surface, as of a road, a ship's deck, an airfoil, or a snow ski. b. The condition of having an arched surface.
- 2. A setting of automobile wheels in which they are closer together at the bottom than at the top. verb, intransitive & transitive

cam·bered, cam·ber·ing, cam·bers

To arch or cause to arch slightly. 1

cham·fer (chăm'fər) verb, transitive cham·fered, cham·fer-ing, cham·fers

- 1. To cut off the edge or corner of; bevel.
- 2. To cut a groove in; flute.

noun

- 1. A flat surface made by cutting off the edge or corner of a block of wood or other material.
- 2. A furrow or groove, as in a column. ²

¹Excerpted from *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* Copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from Lernout & Hauspie Speech Products N.V., further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

²Excerpted from *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* Copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from Lernout & Hauspie Speech Products N.V., further reproduction and distribution restricted in accordance with the Copyright Law of the United States. All rights reserved.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,132

Art Unit: 3677

Since otherwise the substitute specification makes sense, it is being entered subject to the cancellation of the new matter. As noted before, "cambered" in the axial direction would seem to be supported in the drawings.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "Chamfered" was never shown or described.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board

Application/Control Number: 10/511,132

Art Unit: 3677

of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 8 recites the broad recitation fastener including nut or screw, and the claim also recites washer which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Note that applicant has "for engaging a screw or nut", indicating that only the washer is being claimed, but then has positive recitations of the nut or screw "convex side is facing the screw head or nut"..."on the surface facing the screw or nut". Also, is a first or secondd member being claimed? "for fastenening at least a first member to a second member" indicates they are not being claimed, but "having rib profiles which are fixed within or to the surfaces of the first member and the screw or nut..." indicates they are being claimed. Examiner is unsure of the metes and bounds of the claims.

Claims 8-10 have the washer chamfered to allow it to be compressed counter to its resilience. Examiner does not see how chamfering (cutting the edge at an angle, beveling) would achieve this, especially in view of the Figures (see above).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/511,132

Art Unit: 3677

7. Examiner is withholding an opinion on allowability until the issues of 112 1st and 2nd paragraphs, and new matter, are resolved.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner agrees that Castel does not function as examiner believes applicant intends, but these limitations are not claimed. However, it appears the claims now require rib profiles extending over at least half and at most 2/3 of the width of the washer, which would read over Castel.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine W. Mitchell whose telephone number is 571-272-7069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 10 AM - 8 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katherine W Mitchell Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

Mutchely

Kwm 8/31/2006